Kathleen Hartnett White, Chairman R. B. "Ralph" Marquez, Commissioner Larry R. Soward, Commissioner Glenn Shankle, Executive Director



## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

September 12, 2006

LaDonna Castañuela, Chief Clerk Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Office of the Chief Clerk (MC-105) P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: SYNAGRO OF TEXAS-CDR, INC. TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2005-0324-SLG

Dear Ms. Castañuela:

Enclosed for filing is the Public Interest Counsel's Response to Motion to Suspend and Revoke Permit No. 04674 in the above-entitled matter.

Sincerely,

Scott A. Humphrey, Attorney

Public Interest Counsel

cc: Mailing List

Enclosure

512/239-1000

Approximation of the subfigure series of the subfigure of the subfigure

# alian Parawa Kalanji katuatan bajarat

and the grown of the specific terms of the first

And of anthropy of

ideal in koldija affordere in se se moldekaj kadismoja latigragara dizantatu remense simolija i era fi kin da i vito karaja bilokaja karaja badito kinda kalikaja koncestij Sinda kalikaja koncestija anakaj

्रेडिस्ट्री एक्ट्रा क्रिकिस राज्या के साक्ष्य कर स्थित । क्रां इंग्लेस्ट्री के ब्रीट कर मार्गिक एक्ट्री के स्थापन होता है।

They have the Construct

tindicent til 1875 mis till <sup>o</sup>rddig storråke Celderf i Respres<mark>e to</mark> Modion er Storrer and storråk i Delefiste Galiseog Alektrorråddiggive best

4 - 250 W.C.S.

ing Property of the Section of Acade.

Value of the Section of the

Williams His on

Little spirites for

#### TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2006-0324-SLG

PETITION TO SUSPEND AND	§	BEFORE THE
REVOKE PERMIT NO. 04674 TO	§	TEXAS COMMISSION
SYNAGRO OF TEXAS-CDR FILED	§	ON ENVIRONMENTAL
BY BARBARA HOFFMAN ET AL	§	QUALITY

### OFFICE OF PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SUSPEND AND REVOKE PERMIT NO. 04674 TO SYNAGRO OF TEXAS-CDR

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:

The Office of Public Interest Counsel (OPIC) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (Commission or TCEQ) files the following response to the Motion to Suspend and Revoke concerning the above-referenced matter.

#### I. BACKGROUND

Barbara Hoffman, Alfred Hoffman, Belita Hoffman and Kenneth Witte (Movants) have filed a petition with the TCEQ to suspend and revoke Permit No. 04674, which allows Synagro of Texas-CDR (Synagro) to land apply Class B sewage sludge in Colorado County, Texas. The Movants point out that Synagro submitted three similar applications to the TCEQ in August 2003, including the permit application that is the subject of this motion. All three applications involve the same landowner, and two applications are located in Colorado County while the third is located in Wharton County. Protestants in the Wharton County permit application requested and were granted a contested case hearing and are currently awaiting a Commission hearing for a final decision on the application. Synagro withdrew one of the Colorado County applications before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) with the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) conducted a hearing on the merits. The other Colorado County application settled through the TCEQ mediation process. The case was dismissed from the SOAH docket and

remanded to the Executive Director (ED) of the TCEQ, who issued the final permit. The Movants seek suspension and revocation of this permit.

## are recommended that discussion will not extractly than the large was a re-

医异乙酰磺胺基氏 人名德勒勒 法有政策的 建原油

According to the Movants, the primary problem common to all the permit applications concerns the agronomic rates. With respect to Permit No. 04764, the application contains blank lines in the agronomic rate calculation pages, indicating that Synagro did not subtract the amount of plant available nitrogen in the soil from the amount of nitrogen recommended to achieve their specific yield goal. This results in an excess of nitrogen which cannot be utilized by the crop. If the plant cannot use the nitrogen, it will either run off the site or leach into the groundwater causing contamination of the nearby Colorado River and the aquifer below the site from which households draw their water. The Movants also raise two other issues: (1) Synagro has not submitted a Nutrient Management Plan; and (2) the yield goals submitted by Synagro are unrealistic.

OPIC agrees in part with the Movants. Specifically, if the ED concurs with the Movants and is aware that the agronomic rates endanger human health or safety or the environment to such an extent that permit termination is necessary to prevent further harm, then the permit should be suspended. 30 Tex. Admin. Code (TAC) § 305.66(a)(5). Moreover, OPIC agrees that a hearing should be held to determine whether the permit should be revoked. 30 TAC § 305.68(a). However, it would be premature for OPIC or any other party to the hearing to assume that the permit should be revoked.

Authorization for a continuation and in the restant continue and another being the foreign and the continue and

The Movants refer to the Wharton application, which was previously considered by the Commission. In that case, after the ALJ issued a Proposal for Decision recommending the

and the second property of the contract of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See SOAH Docket No. 582-05-5610; TCEQ Docket No. 2005-0180-SLG

permit be granted, Synagro informed the ALJ and the Commission that the agronomic rates in the proposed permit were not accurately calculated. In that case, the Commission concluded it was more appropriate to remand the case to SOAH to determine the appropriate agronomic rate rather than to deny the permit application altogether and make Synagro begin the process again.<sup>2</sup>

In this case, the permit has already been issued. The substantive issue regarding the appropriate agronomic rate remains the same, but different procedures may apply. In addition to granting the motion to suspend the existing permit, OPIC also recommends that the ED and the Commission consider amending the permit for good cause pursuant to 30 TAC § 305.62(d)(2) based on currently known defects in the existing permit. The revocation proceedings and amendment proceedings should be consolidated. Such consolidated proceedings would allow the Commission to consider all three issues raised by the movants: agronomic rates; the submission of a Nutrient Management Plan; and a review of the yield goals. The Commission can then determine whether the permit should be reinstated with amendments or revoked.

#### III. CONCLUSION

OPIC recommends the Commission suspend Synagro's existing Permit No. 04674. In addition, OPIC recommends the Commission and the Executive Director consider amending the permit for good cause pursuant to 30 TAC § 305.62(d)(2). Finally, OPIC recommends the revocation proceedings and amendment proceedings be consolidated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The evidentiary hearing on the agronomic rates for the Wharton County application will take place on October 3-4, 2006 in the SOAH offices in Austin.

talk the Asker various or the selection of the selection of the Respectfully submitted, the transfer of the selections

is twice by the larger with the solution of the substitute  $\mathbf{Blas}[\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{Coy},\mathbf{Jr}_{\mathbf{s}})]$  . The single process of

**Public Interest Counsel** 

Scott A. Humphrey

State Bar No. 10273100

on to broke the all transité commenceurs autres d'état phoness malor (512).239.6363, Phones de comment

(512).239.6377 Fax

Safe well of the experience of

KEALARIA EEE & TANK OEE ID TE ALTERNARY IN THE PROOF OF THE OWNER OF SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

tions equativalarly includes you still concesses with him of him rises by a construction and in sal

extensive to an ear-contage time incovaries, ad blueste fir man, the bancatys is algorithe

confirmation, accompany of geographical expension of HACE as were stiffs here is embered accommo

Charley and the great and engage of the allies of each set of each

I hereby certify that on this 12th day of September, 2006, the original and eleven copies of the Office of Public Interest Counsel Response to Motion to Suspend and Revoke was served upon the Chief Clerk of the TCEQ and a true and correct copy on all persons listed on the attached Mailing List via hand delivery, Intra-Agency Mail or by deposit in the U.S. Mail.

经期间的经济的

is italig van Boy en light en gran i Carber Viltagi, dengan keni dan ngerengan i Andre perkedit 🖰

Carl Albanian in the State Charles (Charles State Charles And Albanian Brown in the contract of the second of the second

an Anako wa kawati pandan Kongan, ti masa wa <u>wa</u>

not a classical infrarect control is to eigenvery oils being soils. Scott A, Humphrey.

the although a first how are a should be in the read cover may be an a

#### MAILING LIST SYNAGRO OF TEXAS-CDR, INC. TCEO DOCKET NO. 2005-0324-SLG

Rebecca L. Fink Lloyd, Gosselink, Blevins, Rochelle & Townsend, P.C.

816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1900 Austin, Texas 78701

Tel: (512)322-5800 Fax: (512)472-0532

Barbara Hoffman 1051 Hoffman Road Alleyton, Texas 78035 Tel: (979)234-5657 Fax: (979)234-7776

Belita & Alfred Hoffman 1001 Hoffman Lane Alleyton, Texas 78935-2047

Kimon Lymberrry Synagro of Texas-CDR, Inc. 4512 Brittmorre, Rd. Houston, Texas 77041-8006

Tel: (832) 467-1212 Fax: (832) 467-0785

Fax: (979)234-7776

Susan Wilkerson P.O. Box 143 Rock Island, Texas 77470 Tel: (979)234-5880

John Williams, Staff Attorney
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Environmental Law Division, MC-173
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239 0600

Tel: (512) 239-0600 Fax: (512) 239-0606

Fax: (512)239-4114

L'Oreal W. Stepney Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Water Quality Division, MC 148 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Tel: (512)239-4540 Jody Henneke, Director Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Office of Public Assistance, MC-108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Tel: (512) 239-4000 Fax: (512) 239-4007

Kyle Lucas
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Alternative Dispute Resolution, MC-222
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-4010
Fax: (512) 239-4015

LaDonna Castañuela
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of Chief Clerk, MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-3300
Fax: (512) 239-3311

COURTESY COPY:
Eric Allmon
Lowerre & Frederick
44 East Avenue, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78701

# TWO DEFINE

# ing a series of the series of

	Section 1985 Annual Control of the C
garinal di tamban shi kasi she shirilanin di bish m	ta ull monde omby cut ula describe a por le
the company of the second of t	t North Congress (Market Congress of Market Congre
1880 - Sept. 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 - 1880 -	
	That the other plants of a companies of the
- Community Co	The state of the s
The state of the s	
	The state of the s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大学的大
PANCE PROPERTY OF A STATE OF A ST	maniferial of the standing
The state of the s	
	the second of the second secon
The Market of Sept. Sept	TO POLICE TO A STATE OF THE STA
The state of the s	
The state of the s	
	and the state of t
	the said of the
Partifetter, Le High and C	
<ul> <li>Many Collaboration of Laboration and Programmed Laboration</li> </ul>	
	this shaw one did to
The second of th	and the research or research
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. 《大雅》、「李敬心,也无事的是不 <b>知</b> 》。	
	Company (1967), and appreciation of
The Court of the C	The state of the s
en de la companya de La companya de la co	and a third of have always, and the control of the
enediA + iv to	San
And the second of the second of the second of	Control of the contro
The state of the s	TO THE STATE OF TH
and the second of the second o	
	1987年 - 19874年 - 1987年 - 1987
	to the second of
	the property of the same of th
	to the traffic and the state of the section of
	Company of the Compan
	on the second of
	and the second of the second o
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	geschick in a chapter of a common chapter of
	ather and provided the property of the
	The state of the s